

1 YAR R. CHAIKOVSKY (SB# 175421)
yarchaikovsky@paulhastings.com
2 PHILIP OU (SB# 259896)
philipou@paulhastings.com
3 ANDY LEGOLVAN (SB# 292520)
andylegolvan@paulhastings.com
4 JOSEPH J. RUMPLER, II (SB# 296941)
josephrumpler@paulhastings.com
5 BERKELEY FIFE (SB# 325293)
berkeleyfife@paulhastings.com
6 BORIS LUBARSKY (SB# 324896)
borislubarsky@paulhastings.com
7 PAUL HASTINGS LLP
1117 S. California Avenue
8 Palo Alto, California 94304-1106
Telephone: 1(650) 320-1800
9 Facsimile: 1(650) 320-1900

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.

11
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14

15 APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.,
16 Plaintiff,
17 vs.
18 DEMARAY LLC,
19 Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:20-cv-05676-EJD

**DECLARATION OF PHILIP OU IN
SUPPORT OF APPLIED MATERIALS,
INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES
SHOULD BE RELATED**

I, Philip Ou, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am a partner with the law firm Paul Hastings LLP, counsel for the Plaintiff Applied Materials, Inc. (“Applied”). I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in the declaration and, if called upon to do so, I could and would testify competently to the matters set forth herein.

2. On December 24, 2020, I informed counsel for Demaray LLC (“Demaray”) that Applied would be filing a new complaint for declaratory judgment of non-infringement based on the present-day, substantial controversies between Applied and Demaray. In response, counsel for Demaray stated that “[t]o the extent that Applied is allowed to file a new case in the Northern District of California, we agree that it should be assigned to Judge Davila.” The same day, Applied filed its new complaint, assigned case number *Applied Material, Inc. v. Demaray LLC*, No. 3:20-cv-09341 (N.D. Cal.).

3. On December 28, 2020, counsel for Applied twice asked counsel for Demaray to confirm its agreement that *Applied Material, Inc. v. Demaray LLC*, No. 5:20-cv-05676-EJD (N.D. Cal.), and *Applied Material, Inc. v. Demaray LLC*, No. 3:20-cv-09341 (N.D. Cal.) should be considered “related cases” under Local Rule 3-12. Counsel for Demaray did not respond to either correspondence, despite the same counsel exchanging multiple other communications regarding other matters.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: December 28, 2020

By: /s/ Philip Ou

Philip Ou